Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt				
1045986				
09783286				
2663				
DISPLAY ASSEMBLY WITH CONTRAST INVERSION INCLUDING TWO SUPERPOSED DISPLAY DEVICES				
Naci Basturk				
24203				
Joerg-Uwe V. Szipl/Gwendoline Garza				
Joerg-Uwe V. Szipl				
ICB0098				
12-MAY-2006				
15-FEB-2001				
14:29:33				
Utility				

Payment information:

Submitted with Payment	no
------------------------	----

File Listing:

Document Number	Document Description	File Name	File Size(Bytes)	Multi Part	Pages
1	Request for Refund	06-05-12_Request_For_Ref und.pdf	79105	no	2

Warnings:	
Information:	
Total Files Size (in bytes):	79105

This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.

New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111

If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for a filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application.

National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371

If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
Naci BASTURK) Atty. Docket: ICB0098	
	cation No. 09/783,286 U.S. Patent No. 6,903,784))) Examiner: QI, Zhi Qiang	
	February 15, 2001 d: June 7, 2005))) Art Unit: 2871	
For:	DISPLAY ASSEMBLY WITH CONTRAST INVERSION INCLUDING TWO SUPERPOSED) Confirmation No. 2663)	
	DISPLAY DEVICES) Date: May 12, 2006	

REQUEST FOR REFUND

MAIL STOP 16

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

On June 2, 2004, and Amendment and Petition for Extension of Time for three months were filed. The undersigned authorized payment of both the additional claims fee of \$212 and the extension fee of \$950.00 through the undersigned's credit card when filing the response.

Upon review of the claims transmittal, it was noted that the undersigned erred in the number of claims when calculating the totals. The correct count should have been 24 total claims and 6 independent claims (current as of the amendment) with 4 additional total and 2 additional independent claims, rather than 7 additional total and 1 additional independent claims as on the transmittal. The total amount submitted should have been \$244.00 for additional claims and the \$950.00 extension fee totaling \$1194.00. The amount authorized, however, was \$32.00 short, i.e., \$1162.00.

Not only did the he Patent Office charge the authorized \$950.00 and \$212.00 to our credit card, however, because of the \$32.00 shortage, the Patent Office also charged an

additional \$244.00 to our Deposit Account No. 50-1281 on June 18, 2004 (\$72.00 and \$172.00).

In view of this, it is requested that \$212.00 be promptly refunded to our Deposit Account No. 50-1281 to offset the additional charge.

Further, on November 23, 2004, the undersigned filed a response with a Petition for Extension of Time for 2 months and a Notice of Appeal. The undersigned authorized payment of the additional claims fee of \$318.00, the extension fee of \$430.00, and the appeal fee of \$340.00 through the undersigned's credit card when filing the response.

Upon review of the claims transmittal, it was noted that the undersigned again erred in the number of claims when calculating the total. The correct count should have been 14 total claims and 7 independent claims (current as of the amendment) with 0 additional total and 1 additional independent claim, rather than 3 additional total and 3 additional independent claims. The total amount submitted should have been \$88.00 for additional claims, \$430.00 for the extension fee and \$340.00 for the appeal fee, totaling \$858.00. The amount authorized and charged was \$1,088.00, resulting in an overpayment of \$230.00. It was noted that the extension fee should have been \$450.00, however, our Deposit Account was charged the \$20.00 deficiency on December 23, 2004 to correct this oversight.

In view of this it is requested that the \$230.00 overpayment be refunded to the undersigned's credit card, but preferably to Deposit Account 50-1281.

The undersigned awaits receipt of the total refund in the amount of \$442.00 in connection with the above matter.

Respectfully submitted,

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C.

Joerg-Uwe Szipt

Registration No. 31,799

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C. Suite PH-1, 2300 Ninth Street, South

Arlington, VA 22204 Telephone: (703) 979-5700

Facsimile: (703) 979-7429 Email: gands@szipl.com Customer No.: 24203